

Respondent Ms. Dana Reddy, a tenant on the property, testified one of the boats had been removed and she needed more time to remove the remaining vessel.

Mr. DeBlois recommended an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for the Respondent to remove any boats not registered to a resident of the property, or a \$100 per day fine would be imposed.

10:20:44

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent tenant was present for this hearing.

10:22:23 **Case #2014050019 – Pemberly 1825 LLC (Pemberly Palms)**

Mr. DeBlois advised this case had to do a development called Pemberly Palms that was formerly known as Kyles Run development.

Ms. Vanessa Carter Solomon testified when she initially cited the Respondent on May 6, 2014 there were flags and banners and modular trailers on the site being used for storage, along with landscape maintenance violations. She submitted four photographs into evidence and indicated shrubs along 8th Street and Indian River Boulevard had been removed and the replacement shrubs were not at the County's required replacement height of 30 inches. Inspector Carter Solomon stated there had been no site coordination prior to the landscape replanting and she was not sure if the Respondent was aware of the code, a copy of which she submitted into evidence.

Mr. DeBlois noted the only violations remaining were for landscape maintenance and site plan non-conformance and recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for the hedge material to grow to the required 30 inch height or by replacing the plantings at the 30 inch height, or potentially a \$100 per day fine if compliance was not achieved.

Mr. Allen Lanclos, representing the Respondent, testified he was not aware of

the replacement regulation and had replaced dead and missing landscape to improve the property. He maintained most of the replacement plants were close to reaching the 30 inch requirements and he had no doubt they would reach that height within a month or two, and asked for more time.

Inspector Carter Solomon stated some plants were within 18 to 25 inches in height; but she doubted that even one half of the site would meet code at this time.

Chairman Clements opined 30 days would likely not be long enough for the hedges to reach the required height; however there was the issue of setting a precedent in this case.

Discussion followed.

10:37:09

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles to find the violation and grant an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

There was no second to the motion.

10:37:26

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted (3-1) to find the violation and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine. Mr. Bowles opposed.

It is noted for the record the Respondent's representative was present for this hearing.

COMPLIANCE HEARING

10:38:39 **Case #2014010037 – Julio & Ordonez Campoverde**

Mr. DeBlois related this case first came to the Board on March 24, 2014 for junk vehicle and junk and trash and debris violations, at which time the Board gave the Respondents until May 16, 2014 to comply by either demonstrating the roadworthiness of the junk vehicle or removing it and to clean up the property. He continued when the case came back for a hearing on May 19, 2014, the Board

approved an extension of time until June 20, 2014.

Inspector Carter Solomon submitted two photographs taken June 23, 2014 into evidence and reported there were now two inoperable junk vehicles and some debris remaining on the site.

Respondent Julio Ordonez stated through an interpreter that he had intended to fix the first inoperable vehicle but was only able to work on the car on the weekends and the second car was registered and belonged to a friend. He related his friend's vehicles would be removed tomorrow and asked for 60 days extension.

Mr. DeBlois recommended an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for overall compliance.

10:46:42

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to grant an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for overall compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was present for this hearing.

EVIDENTIARY HEARING

10:47:13 **Case #2014020087 – William & Patricia Carroll**

Mr. DeBlois related this case had to do with a swimming pool enclosure violation and a fence erected without a permit to meet the pool enclosure requirement. He recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

Ms. Rose Jefferson, IRC Code Enforcement Officer, submitted three photographs into evidence.

10:51:17

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violation and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was present for this hearing.

COMPLIANCE HEARING

10:51:51 **Case #2013120012 – Carl French**

Mr. DeBlois recapped this case originally came to the Board on January 27, 2014 for an unpermitted shed and overgrown weeds. He confirmed the Respondent was present at that hearing when the Board granted until February 21, 2014 for compliance, with subsequent extensions being granted until June 20, 2014.

Inspector Jefferson submitted three photographs into evidence and indicated the only violation remaining was the need for a permit for the shed.

Respondent Carl French stated the shed was put up when the house was built 27 years ago and he did not feel he needed a permit because he had only replaced the siding on the existing shed; however he confirmed he now had the required permit.

Mr. DeBlois recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for the Respondent to get final inspections on modifications done to the shed.

Discussion ensued.

11:00:23

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was present for this hearing.

EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS

11:00:51 **Case #2014030069 – Titone Properties LLC (Tenant)**

Inspector Carter Solomon related she had no service on the property owner and had not posted the property. Mr. DeBlois noted both the tenant and the owner

had been cited and if the Board heard the case today the Order would be against the tenant only, since she was present at this time. He clarified the issues were overgrown weeds and junk, trash and debris in the rear yard of a residential property.

Respondent Stephanie Hinten, the tenant, said the trash was in a truck bed and had been left by the previous owner, adding the landowner did not pay for trash pickup or clean up the property. She indicated she now had trash pickup and was working on cleaning it up.

Mr. DeBlois decided to withdraw the case and reschedule it when Respondent Titone received service.

11:06:07 **Case #2014050041 – Sarah Mor (Tenant)**

Inspector Jefferson confirmed service on the tenant on June 6, 2014 and submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014, and five photographs taken June 20, 2014 into evidence. She testified she had received several complaints regarding junk vehicles and a neighbor on an adjacent property stated cars were being repaired on the property. Inspector Jefferson indicated some of the vehicles in the photographs had been on the property since at least May, 2014; however she had not personally observed repairs taking place.

Mr. DeBlois advised staff would withdraw the zoning district use violation but continue with the junk vehicle storage violation.

Respondent Angela Ledford, the tenant on the property, stated one car was being towed away tomorrow and the other automobile, the Acura Legend, was legal and in running condition. She indicated her husband was a mobile mechanic and the only cars being worked on in the yard belonged to him.

Mr. DeBlois recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

11:16:42

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violation for junk vehicles and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100

a long time and the bank originally installed a wood slab with a mesh covering over it, which she assumed they thought was sufficient.

Mr. DeBlois reckoned the property was in non-compliance for approximately 2,102 days for a flat fine of \$210,200.

Mr. Ed Richard, a realtor representing the bank, advised the bank foreclosed on the property at the beginning of 2014 and it had been sold at an on-line auction. He added there was an additional case on today's agenda involving the same property.

Mr. DeBlois noted there were no extensions in this case and the estimated administrative costs would be \$1,700; however there was the issue of a health/safety factor that was part of the case and he recommended the fine be set at \$2,500.

Mr. Zimmermann noted in similar cases where there had been lengthy periods of time with lack of action the reduced fine was set at 10% of the flat fine.

11:32:43

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED FOR DISCUSSION BY Mr. Gervasio, to find compliance and to set the fine at \$21,000, or 10% of the flat fine.

Mr. Gervasio noted the bank would know about the liens when it took possession and wondered how many days had passes between then and June 23, 2014. Mr. DeBlois estimated it was approximately 180 days, which would be a flat fine of approximately \$18,000 if the Board set the fine at 10%.

Discussion followed about how long the bank had actually been involved. Mr. DeBlois confirmed the initial Order Finding Violation entered back in 2008 listed Wells Fargo Bank as the foreclosing bank at the time.

Mr. Richard pointed out in these cases when a realtor got an order to go to a property and someone was living in the residence they were not allowed to enter the property or touch anything or fix it.

11:38:26

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find compliance and to set the fine at \$21,000, or 10% of

done and the Board entered a fine of \$100 per day with a start date of March 21, 2009. Mr. DeBlois confirmed compliance on June 20, 2011, which was a passage of 821 days of non-compliance for a flat fine calculation in the amount of \$82,100.

Inspector Carter Solomon confirmed the bank had been maintaining the property since the original compliance date.

Mr. Ed Richard, a realtor representing the bank, said the property had been vacant since the 2004 hurricanes when the owners had walked away from it and let it go into foreclosure. He advised banks were now selling this and other properties "as is" at on-line auctions.

Mr. DeBlois noted there was one extension granted which would be administrative costs in the amount of \$2,000, or 10% of the accrued amount would be approximately \$8,200.

11:50:25

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find compliance and to set the fine at \$8,210, or 10% of the accrued flat fine.

It is noted for the record the bank's representative was present for this hearing.

11:51:26 **Case #2013030124 – Norman Welch Jr. (J.P. Morgan Chase Bank)**

Mr. DeBlois recapped this case first came to the Board on July 22, 2013 for unmaintained swimming pool and overgrown weeds violations, at which time the Board granted until August 23, 2013 for compliance. When it came back for a compliance hearing on September 21, 2013 after one extension, staff testified although the property had been mowed the pool was still not maintained and the Board entered an Order Imposing Fine of \$100 per day with a start date of September 21, 2013. He confirmed compliance as of April 24, 2014, which was the passage of 215 days for a flat accrued fine in the amount of \$21,500.

Inspector Carter Solomon testified the bank initially cut the grass; however Respondent Norman Welch Jr. filed for bankruptcy, which stalled the foreclosure process. She noted once the bank took possession they had immediately taken care of the pool issue.

Ms. Georgann Schreiber, a realtor representing the bank, advised J.P. Morgan Chase Bank foreclosed on the property but Freddy Mac had insured it so they were the actual owner of the property.

Mr. DeBlois noted the lender had resolved the violations in a timely manner and recommended the fine be set at \$2,000 to cover administrative costs.

11:57:03

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find compliance and to set the fine at \$2,000 to cover administrative costs.

It is noted for the record the bank's representative was present for this hearing.

11:58:01 **Case #9802054 – Church of Deliverance**

Mr. DeBlois recalled this case first came to the Board in January, 1998 for junk, trash and debris and overgrown weeds on the property, and when it came back for a compliance hearing on February 23, 1998, on learning the violations had not been resolved the Board imposed a \$100 per day fine with a start date of February 21, 1998. He advised there was a related action having to do with a dilapidated structure that was eventually demolished and the site was cleaned up and the case file indicated it came into compliance on May 20, 1999. Mr. DeBlois clarified that was 453 days of non-compliance for an accrued flat fine of \$45,300 that had been sitting as a lien against the property.

Mr. Jack Cordy, representing the Respondent, stated this happened so long ago nobody knew who pulled the permit to demolish the shed and there was some confusion as to how this all came about and who had been involved.

Discussion followed.

Mr. DeBlois recommended the fine be set at \$1,700 to cover administrative costs.

Mr. Gervasio noted the Respondent was a charitable organization and there was some confusion connected to the case because it happened some time ago and the records were not very complete.

12:08:47

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find compliance and to set the fine at \$1,700 to cover administrative costs.

It is noted for the record the Respondent's representative was present for this hearing.

12:11:26 **Case #2012120059 – Philip Frey Jr. Individually & as Trustee of the Frey Living Trust**

Mr. DeBlois summarized this case initially came to the Board on March 25, 2013 for overgrown weeds and junk, trash and debris, at which time the Board gave the Respondent until April 19, 2013 to comply. He continued when the case came back for a compliance hearing on May 20, 2013 after one extension after hearing nothing had been done the Board entered an Order Imposing Fine in the amount of \$100 per day with a start date of May 18, 2013.

Mr. DeBlois verified compliance as of June 10, 2014, which was 388 days of non-compliance for a flat fine of \$38,800. He mentioned there was an issue regarding notification on the address of service of the property because there was a discrepancy between the IRC Property Appraiser's record and the Respondent's address.

Inspector Davis explained Mr. Frey owned a large number of lots in the County and had had requested a change of address on the properties; however the address of the subject property was not changed and the Respondent did not get service and did not know about the lien on the subject property until he went to sell another parcel of land.

Attorney Bob Burson, representing the Respondent, submitted 20 pages of documents into evidence and explained the Respondent purchased approximately 100 lots in 2009, and his address on the subject property was shown as Jensen Beach instead of Stuart. Attorney Burson showed correspondence indicating the Respondent tried to provide the correct address to the IRC Tax Collector's office;

however there was still a discrepancy as of January 29, 2014. He maintained all of the Notices to the Respondent had been sent to the Jensen Beach address and came back marked "No Such Number, No Such Street" and "Not Deliverable as Addressed", and the Respondent knew nothing about any violations, liens or fines.

Mr. DeBlois clarified according to Florida Statute #162; staff procedure was to post the property if attempts at certified and regular mail were unsuccessful. He confirmed the property was posted in this case; however the Respondent was not a local resident and under the circumstances staff would support a rescindment of the fine.

12:23:53

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted (3-1) to find compliance and rescind the fine. Mr. Zimmermann opposed.

Mr. Zimmermann explained he had voted against the motion because he felt it set a bad precedent.

It is noted for the record the Respondent and his attorney were present for this hearing.

Chairman Clements called a recess at 3:55 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 4:03 p.m.

EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS

12:25:59 **Case #2014040084 – Dennis & Dorothy McDougall**

Inspector Davis submitted six photographs taken on June 11, 2014 into evidence and confirmed service on June 10, 2014. She testified she had received a complaint about the Respondents planting bamboo and trees in the right-of-way and leaving garbage cans in the road all the time, constituting junk, trash and debris on the property. She recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:27:10

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find

the violations and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondents were not present for this hearing.

12:27:25 **Case #2014040074 – Melinda Poole**

Inspector Carter Solomon submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and three photographs into evidence, and described overgrown weeds and tree limbs encroaching onto adjacent property which was a health and safety hazard/public nuisance. She recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:28:34

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

12:28:47 **Case #2014040037 – Brandy Gallegos (Bank of America N.A.)**

Inspector Davis confirmed service on the bank on June 11, 2014 and submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 11, 2014 and five photographs into evidence. She related she had received complaints about a fence built without permits, overgrown weeds and junk, trash and debris. Inspector Davis recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

Mr. Gervasio felt 60 days would be more realistic for compliance.

12:31:28

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 60 days, until August 22, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondents were not present for this hearing.

12:31:47 **Case #2014040026 – Linda Jackson (J.P. Morgan Chase Bank)**

Inspector Davis submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 11, 2014 and seven photographs into evidence, and confirmed service by certified mail on the bank on June 11, 2014. She indicated the property had been cited for overgrown weeds, swimming pool maintenance and pool enclosure violations and junk, trash and debris. Inspector Davis recommended an extension of seven days, until June 30, 2014, for compliance on the unsecured pool and 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance on the remaining violations or a \$100 per day fine.

Inspector Davis mentioned the property already had a lien on it for a number of years due to overgrowth and hazardous structure violations and it was on the list for demolition through the IRC Building Department.

12:34:48

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of seven days, until June 30, 2014, for compliance on the unsecured pool and 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance of the swimming pool maintenance, overgrown weeds and junk, trash and debris violations or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondents were not present for this hearing.

12:35:04 **Case #2014030041 – Regina Ginn & Kent Stuckey**

Inspector Carter Solomon indicated there was no service on this case.

12:35:11 **Case #2014020095 Leroy Miller (Floridascapes Lawn & Landscape Service)**

Inspector Carter Solomon submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and 11 photographs into evidence. She testified the property was a five-acre tract that was cited for no building permit for a shed, stockpiling of land clearing debris and zoning district use violation. Inspector Carter Solomon clarified the property was zoned agriculture and was being used for

a commercial landscape business that was not incidental to or associated with an onsite nursery.

Mr. DeBlois related the Respondent was working towards establishing a nursery on the property to meet the qualifications for the commercial landscape business to be allowed. He recommended an extension of 90 days, until September 19, 2014, for the Respondent to comply or to cease the landscape business. Mr. DeBlois noted even if use was established as an accessory landscape service to a nursery there was still the issue of stockpiling of debris on the property that needed to be addressed.

12:41:58

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 90 days, until September 19, 2014, to either establish a nursery as the primary use on the property or cease the accessory commercial landscape use and comply with the stockpiling of debris or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

12:42:40 **Case #2014040049 – Federal National Mortgage Association**

Inspector Carter Solomon submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and three photographs into evidence. She related the case had to do with a vacant residence that had been cited for overgrown weeds, junk, trash and debris and a health and safety hazard/public nuisance for a dead palm tree in the yard. Inspector Carter Solomon recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:44:04

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day

fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

12:44:14 **Case #2014050003 – Lee An (Fradette) Weaver (U.S. Bank National Association)**

Inspector Jefferson submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and five photographs into evidence. She confirmed service on the bank on June 11, 2014 and described overgrown weeds, junk, trash and debris, health and safety hazard/public nuisance for a dead pine tree and swimming pool enclosure and pool maintenance violations at a vacant residence. Inspector Jefferson indicated she had received complaints from surrounding neighbors and recommended an extension of seven days, until June 30, 2014, to secure the pool and 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance of the remaining violations.

12:46:10

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of seven days, until June 30, 2014, to secure the pool and 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance of the remaining violations or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondents were not present for this hearing.

12:46:22 **Case #2014050061 – Robert & Linda Davies (J.P. Morgan Chase Bank)**

Inspector Jefferson confirmed service on the bank on June 10, 2014 and submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and three photographs into evidence. She indicated this case involved overgrown weeds at a vacant residence and recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:47:18

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violation and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondents were not present for this hearing.

12:47:32 **Case #2014050062 – William Metz Jr.**

Inspector Jefferson submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and two photographs into evidence, and described overgrown weeds on a vacant lot. She recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:48:12

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violation and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

12:48:25 **Case #2014050077 – Patrick & Margarete Collins**

Inspector Carter Solomon submitted an Affidavit of Service indicating the property had been posted on June 13, 2014 and three photographs into evidence. She related the cited violations were for overgrown weeds and swimming pool maintenance at a vacant residence that was not in foreclosure but had not been occupied for a few years. Inspector Carter Solomon recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance.

12:49:40

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violations and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

12:49:50 **Case #2014060016 – Schlitt Property Management, Inc.**

Mr. DeBlois advised this case had to do with property located on the barrier island and related to sea turtle protection requirements for outdoor lighting not meeting the County's Sea Turtle Protection Ordinance from a standpoint of shielding measures on oceanfront property. He explained the County conducted regular sea

submitted into evidence a Lighting Evaluation form. Inspector Jefferson confirmed service on June 10, 2014 and recommended an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for the Respondent to come into compliance by modifying the lights to be sea turtle protected design or potentially be fined \$100 per day.

12:54:47

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the violation and grant an extension of 30 days, until July 25, 2014, for compliance or a \$100 per day fine.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing.

COMPLIANCE HEARINGS

12:55:04 **Case #2014020099 – Wells Fargo Bank NA**

Inspector Carter Solomon reported this case initially came to the Board on April 28, 2014, at which time the Board granted approximately 60 days, until June 20, 2014, to resolve the overgrown weeds, site plan non-conformance and landscape maintenance violations. She submitted four photographs taken on June 23, 2014 into evidence and testified there had been no progress on the site and she had not had contact with any of the bank representatives, and recommended the \$100 per day fine be imposed with a start date of June 21, 2014.

12:56:28

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find non-compliance and impose a fine of \$100 per day with a start date of June 21, 2014.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing. .

12:56:44 **Case #2014030006 – TRN Investment Inc.**

Ms. Kelly Buck, IRC Code Enforcement Inspector, submitted one photograph taken June 19, 2014 into evidence and recapped this case originally came to the Board on April 28, 2014 for overgrown weeds. She reported as of today the property was still overgrown and she had not had contact with the Respondent, and recommended the \$100 per day fine be imposed with a start date of June 21, 2014.

12:57:40

ON MOTION BY Mr. Bowles, SECONDED BY Mr. Zimmermann, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find non-compliance and impose a fine of \$100 per day with a start date of June 21, 2014.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing. .

12:57:53 **Case #2014020131 – Keith Moskowitz**

Mr. DeBlois recapped this case was for a repeat violation of two previous Orders dated June 28, 2010 and March 26, 2012 involving a zoning district use violation for a car repair and tire business on commercially-zoned property. He explained the business was located at the corner of 57th Street east of U.S. Highway #1 and customers were parking in the right-of-way and on neighboring property on the south side of 57th Street.

Inspector Buck submitted into evidence three photographs taken on March 4 and 6, and June 23, 2014, and reported the “No Parking” signs erected by the County were no longer on the site.

Mr. DeBlois recommended the Board find the repeat violation and find the Respondent had not been in compliance since the initial serving of Notice of Repeat Violation dating back to March 1 2014, and enter a \$100 per day fine with a start date of March 2, 2014.

Discussion followed.

13:06:37

ON MOTION BY Mr. Gervasio, SECONDED BY Mr. Bowles, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to find the repeat violation and impose a fine of \$100 per day with a start date of March 2, 2014.

It is noted for the record the Respondent was not present for this hearing. .

13:06:49 **Case #2014020119 – Minnie Mallard**

Inspector Buck recalled this case first came to the Board on April 28, 2014 for

Other Matters

There were none.

Adjournment

13:10:24

ON MOTION BY Mr. Zimmermann, SECONDED BY Mr. Gervasio, the Board voted unanimously (4-0) to adjourn the meeting at 4:47 p.m.